

MATTHEW XVIII GROUP
P. O. Box 1136
Crestwood, Kentucky 40014

October 31, 2001

Concordia Publishing House
3558 South Jefferson Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri 63118

Dear Ladies & Gentlemen:

What began with my simple request, in 1997, to teach a Bible Study on Purity at a Lutheran School, revealed to me a great difference between sex education and purity instruction. I have come to understand that the origins of each are very different, as are the designed and expected outcomes. Since 1967, the Lutheran Church has published, via Concordia Publishing House, a series of books on sex education. This document addresses concerns about the Concordia Publishing House series from a scientific, legal and Biblical view.

However, before proceeding with this document it may be helpful to understand how I have been prepared to come before you:

I was born in 1961, to parents who were members of The Lutheran Church Missouri Synod (LCMS). I was baptized in the Lutheran Church and attended Lutheran Grade School and High School (K – 12). During my junior high years, I went through “sex education” which was presented by a nurse employed by the school. She used “Take the High Road” book # 3 in the original Concordia sex education series. During my high school and especially my college years, many of my peers, who went through the Lutheran Schools, were not chaste and pure prior to marriage as the Bible directs. I often wondered why.

In 1984, I met a Lutheran Church worker, who happened to be a Youth Director and First Grade teacher. We fell in love and married in 1985. My husband remained a Youth Director from 1984 through 1999. As a Lutheran Youth Director he dealt with abortion, teen pregnancy, teen fornication, pornography and even prostitution among our Lutheran youth.

In 1982, I began my professional career as a nurse. After working in E. R. for four years, I was led to a different path. I began to work in the field of Public Health Nursing in 1986. Part of my work was school nursing in elementary, junior high and senior high; which included school nursing for a school in the Madison Heights district for teen mothers. I was trained in sex education through the Oakland County Michigan public school system. The training provided by the county for nurses and teachers was in the SIECUS (Sex Information Education Council of the United States) model. We were

told that speaking explicitly about sex to the children would alleviate curiosity about the subject, thereby decreasing rates of pregnancy and STD (Sexually Transmitted Disease).

We were encouraged to speak frankly about sex to children because “parents aren’t doing their job.” It was up to us, they said, to tell other people’s children about sexual contacts and conduct, all in value neutral terms. We were told to disregard the rumor that when you talk to kids about sex, they will go out and do it. They said; “it is simply not true”. I was a public school nurse addressing sexuality from 1985 through 1991. I was comforted by knowing that sex education information and instruction were being delivered to school children in Oakland County with the aim of reducing sexual disease and dysfunction associated with ignorance on the subject of sex.

In May of 1991, the Lord blessed my husband and I with a son. As my life changed, so did the emphasis of my calling. I cut back on working outside the home and took a part-time job, again, at the Oakland County Health Department working in the AIDS/STD/Immunization Clinic. The training for this position was provided by the CDC (Center of Disease Control) in STD’s (40 hours); and also by the Michigan Health Department in AIDS counseling (40 hours). Equipped with the most up-to-date information on sexual health, I worked in the county health clinic from 1991 through 1999. During that period of time, my colleagues and I noted some alarming trends (refer to Appendix 1), disease and dysfunction were increasing and younger children were affected. At the same time, my husband and I noticed that fellow church workers were dealing with sexually active children within their homes. These were not the outcomes I had been led to expect from teaching children explicit sex education: “Was this the best for which I could hope for my own children? Whatever happened to chastity and purity?” Because of the destruction I began to witness in children’s lives related to sexual matters in my work, in the ministry and with our friends, my passion was ignited for determining the truth about the origins of sex education and the dissonance between what I had learned and what the Bible said about life and intimate relations.

During my work for the Oakland County Health Department, in 1997, a Lutheran friend challenged me to look through the Concordia Sex Education “Learning About Sex” series. I was struck by how graphic the series was for the elementary student. Concordia was more graphic than what I taught in the public schools. My friend had already contacted Concordia with her concerns about the lack of scriptural support for the graphic and explicit nature of the Concordia material. She received patronizing responses from Concordia about her concerns.

It seemed necessary then to notify others in the Lutheran Church about the graphic and explicit nature of Concordia’s series. Thus, I contacted Dr. A. L. Barry, President of the LCMS, as well as Dr. Earl Gaulke, former editor of the “Learning About Sex” Series with our concerns. Additionally, I have interviewed and dealt with a number of Lutheran pastors, principals, teachers and even recognized Biblical scholars at Concordia. I have extensively reviewed the Concordia Sex Education Series (past and present). I have consulted with Teen Crisis Pregnancy Centers, the National Abstinence

Clearinghouse, Focus on the Family and Dennis Rainey from Family Life Ministry to better understand the general Christian viewpoint on this matter.

Finally, the Lord led me to the answers I sought, to the confusion on the part of many as well as the questions I had. Despite my very fine education, He has shown me in His Word His standard, the Christian standard, for chastity and purity. He has also placed key individuals in my path to equip me: Linda Bartlett, the President of Lutherans for Life; Dr. Judith Reisman, the premier authority on Dr. Alfred Kinsey; Eunice Ray, founder of the *RSVP America* Campaign – Restoring Social Virtue & Purity to America, Pastor Mark Cutler, Board Member, Lutherans for Life; and Colonel Ron Ray, attorney and former First Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense under President Reagan, a published authority on national security, foreign affairs and constitutional issues.

In this document and presentation, I will show how America's foundations are being chipped away based on junk science. It is clear to me that we have all been deceived, in my field of medicine, but also in law and even the ministry. The answers I sought regarding the truth about the origins of sex education were not just about Concordia and how Kinsey's philosophy was introduced into the Lutheran Church, an institution I love and uphold. But, this investigation has led to my understanding of how the shift from God's standard has moved our "One nation under God" to "One nation under science and the "Ancient Theology, " the pagan worship of man's generative powers (See Romans I). I lament, like the prophet, the high price we, and our children, have paid.

With a much greater appreciation for Martin Luther's courage, I respectfully submit these proofs to you on October 31, 2001; and I ask that you prayerfully consider both what is in writing and what will be presented.

In His service,

Audrey F. Werner
Founder, Matthew XVIII Group
Wife of a Lutheran church worker,
Mother of three children,
Registered Nurse

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Who is Dr. Alfred Kinsey? Mention his name to someone who was an adult in and around the WWII years, and they recall Kinsey's sex studies and that seemed to make radical claims about human sexuality. What people don't know or understand is that Kinsey was not the unbiased scientist Indiana University presented; or how he obtained his data; or how his "junk science" has affected this country in the area of medicine, law, education and religion.

Dr. Alfred Kinsey was a sadomasochistic "homosexual," according to the *New Yorker* magazine in 1997. *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (1948) and *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female* (1953) reveal Kinsey's heinous crimes in obtaining data. In "Table 34" on page 180 of *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, (see Appendix 2) is documentation of children, some as young as five months old, being timed with a stopwatch to determine their number of "orgasms." Based on these data obtained from what Kinsey called "trained observers," children (and thus humankind) were declared sexual beings from birth. His data is also built on aberrant samples. His data on "normal" adult males were, in actuality, criminals in prisons in the 1930's and 1940's.

Prior to 1948, society expected that "normal" men, women and youth exercised "moral" constraint and were in control of their sexual urges. Chastity, purity and fidelity were considered the norm. Complete abstinence, from intimate physical acts before marriage, provided society with the building blocks for spiritual and physical health and well-being.

Dr. Alfred Kinsey, along with committed eugenic elites working in the law, medicine and education, shifted the nation's Biblical understanding of marriage and family to a scientific understanding of "human sexuality." Kinsey's data are foundational to current political movements: Abortion advocates used the Kinsey Reports in their efforts to legalize abortion; gay rights and pedophile rights advocates relied upon Kinsey's data to legally protect their behaviors; Hugh Hefner founded the sexual rights movement; and Lester Kirkendall (past president of the American Humanist Association) and Dr. Mary Calderone (past president of Planned Parenthood) were able, based on Kinsey's data to shape, mold and define sex education for America's children.

In 1953-54, Tennessee Congressman B. Carroll Reece, also the influential chairman of the Republican National Committee, could see clearly that revolutionary changes were forming on the horizon of our nation's social landscape, and that a principle source of the change was foundation grants encouraging collectivism and internationalism. When the Reece Committee began to investigate Kinsey's Male Report and the background of its funding, he discovered a trail leading back to the Rockefeller Foundation. While the Reece Committee was stopped by a bipartisan effort from further

investigation, it did offer the following warning regarding the enlarging mission of social scientists in changing America.¹

Since SIECUS was conceived in 1964 at the Kinsey Institute, sex education based on the Kinsey Reports, is nothing more than on-going unmonitored human experimentation on children, perpetrated without informed consent. "Informed consent" requires that potential harm be calculated, described in full detail and then presented to parents for their consent. Parents must consent because children are unable by law to consent to being subjects of potentially harmful experiments. The results of the sex ed experiment are now realized as government statistics document: In the last 50 years, there is a 300% increase in out-of-wedlock births; 25-30 new sexually transmitted diseases; and 3 billion dollars in federal tax dollars for contraceptives and "safe sex" education.

I have had the privilege to meet Dr. Judith Reisman. She is internationally recognized as an authority on Kinsey's junk science and its consequences especially to children. On August 22, 2001, she related a testimony of what I call "guts and courage." In 1998, she attended a 50th anniversary celebration of Alfred Kinsey's first Report at San Francisco State University. She discretely sat in the back of the auditorium watching as the present director of the Kinsey Institute John Bancroft approached the podium. He was visibly shaken and began to denounce a program produced and broadcast across Great Britain by Yorkshire Television entitled, "Kinsey's Pedophiles." He also railed against Judith's research on the Kinsey Reports warning those in the audience who celebrated the sexual diversity and sexual freedom Kinsey had wrought. Dr. Reisman was a threat to gains over the last 50 years: Abortion, the Gay Movement, Pornography, Sex Education, the trivialization of Sex Offense laws which once protected women and children from predators in all state penal codes.

The following is what Dr. John Bancroft feared would get out about Dr. Kinsey and his junk science Reports, and specifically the connection that the Lutheran Church Missouri Synod and Concordia Publishing House has to the false authority misleading of the fraudulent Kinsey Reports republished in 1998 by Indiana University Press.

¹ Hearings, Appendix and Report of the Special Committee to Investigate Tax Exempt Foundations and comparable Organizations 83rd Congress, Second Session, 1953, on House Resolution 217, 1955, See also Rene Wormser, *The Foundations: Their Power and Influence*, 1955.

III. PREFACE

The sexual revolution and resulting moral decline of this nation, since World War II, has devastated marriage, families and children. Some talk of times not unlike Noah or Sodom and Gomorrah. As a registered nurse, young mother and Christian, I ask myself, where was the Church as the "Watchman on the walls," the laws, morals, manners, customs of our own nation, when the threat surfaced? Where was the Church when no-fault divorce, abortion, pornography became legal? Where was the Church when sodomy gained legal rights and financial benefits? Where was the Church, when Dr. Alfred Kinsey and his elite supporters went public?

Like the nation, the Church gradually accepted Kinsey's "junk science" false propaganda. The Church was perhaps unaware that the Kinsey Reports were, as Congress found in 1954, a deliberately designed as an attack on "Judaic-Christian morality," the foundation of American law and civil government as well as the foundation of Church law and government.

It is often said the Church fell away many decades ago, and it does seem it can no longer make a major moral impact on this nation. It is my prayer that we in the Church of Jesus Christ, after the great falling away, will be the first to get to our knees, ask for wisdom, in the fear of the Lord, from Almighty God, seek true and complete repentance and then, having done all, stand to become once again the major influence in a country that still claims to be "One Nation Under God."

IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. COVER LETTER
- II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- III. PREFACE
- IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS
- V. VIOLATIONS OF NATURAL SCIENTIFIC LAW
- VI. VIOLATIONS OF AMERICAN LAW
- VII. VIOLATIONS OF BIBLICAL LAW
- VIII. CONCLUSION
- IX. SUGGESTED ACTION
- X. SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY & CONCORDIA DOCUMENTS REVIEWED
- XI. APPENDICES
- XII. SELECTED MEDIA

V. VIOLATIONS OF NATURAL SCIENTIFIC LAW

The published writings of Dr. Alfred Kinsey are the foundation for the entire pseudo-scientific field of “sexology” in America today, and hence a chief cause of our nation’s current sexual health and morality crisis.²

Dr. Judith Reisman, *author Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*

Looking back at the history of this great nation we can learn that there have only been two sexuality models codified in American law. Prior to the 1950’s and before Dr. Alfred Kinsey’s “scientific” findings, America’s institutions fully supported marriage as the only lawful circumstance for intimate relations between the sexes and the rearing of children to preserve sexual hygiene and control venereal disease. Parents (or guardians) were primarily responsible for family living instruction and advice and counsel, when requested, most frequently came from doctors and ministers. After Kinsey, this critical parental responsibility was gradually transferred to the school teachers and others.³

A. THE NATION ACCEPTED KINSEY’S JUNK SCIENCE AS SCIENTIFICALLY VERIFIED TRUTH AND DEVELOPED ERRONEOUS SEX EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO GUIDE CHILDREN.

Dr. Kinsey was the first scientist to claim he proved children, like adults, were sexual and erotic being from birth.⁴ In addition to this groundbreaking statement, Dr. Kinsey went further claiming that based on his data, children are not harmed by “consensual” incest or sex with adults.⁵ With the declaration of humankind’s sexual capacities and desire from birth, rather than puberty - the biological onset of sexual maturity, Dr. Kinsey set the stage for teaching comprehensive sex education to school children, taught by people other than parents.

What is most disturbing is the scientific protocol Dr. Kinsey and his colleagues used to find that children are “sexual from birth”. Dr. Kinsey’s *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, page 180, Table 34 carries the “orgasm” data from infant and young boys (some as young as 2 months of age). Kinsey reports these “experiments” were conducted by “9”

² J.A. Reisman, “The Foundation of Modern Sex-Education is Kinsey’s Sex Science Fraud,” Louisville, Kentucky: First Principles Press, 1996, p. 3.

³ J.A. Reisman, *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, (2nd ed.), Arlington, Virginia: Institute for Media Education, 2000, p. 132.

⁴ Report of the Illinois Commission on Sex Offenders, March 15, 1953, p. 9.

While the Illinois Commission has previously declared that Kinsey’s scientific research “permeates” the entire report, in its “Scientific Findings” section the Commission quotes only one other as a “biologist,” Dr. Frank A. Beach. His experiments on rodents are used to further support the Commission’s position established by Kinsey that; “children and all mammals are sexual from birth; and there is infinite modifiability of sexual arousal and expression. As for humans, they are experiencing increased freedom from specific physiological controls, with erotic responsiveness relatively independent of sexual physiology.” (See also Kinsey’s Male Volume, p. 181.)

⁵ Kinsey, Pomeroy, Martin, Gebhard, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders, 1953, p. 118, 119, 121.

“trained observers.” Kinsey co-author Dr. Paul Gebhard, and former Kinsey Institute Director, in an audio taped interview admits that Kinsey used and directed pedophiles as to how to gather data on 317 to 2,035 infants and children for his orgasm data.⁶ Kinsey presented these human experiment data as “normal” and proof of early childhood sexual potential. These data formed the foundation of all sex education curricula.⁷

In 1964, the Sex Information and Education Council of the United States or SIECUS was formed at the Kinsey Institute and has served as the main “sexuality” clearinghouse for both Federal and State Departments of Education and Department of Health. SIECUS received important early funding from the *Playboy* Foundation.⁸ Historian Max Lerner said of Hugh Hefner, “If Kinsey was the researcher, Hefner was the pamphleteer.” Pornographers are grateful to Kinsey, who was a lifelong collector of pornographic materials, for his research which finds for the harmlessness of sexualized imagery. The funding of SIECUS by pornographers is understandable, when one considers that publishing and posting pornography prior to Kinsey was illegal in the U.S. However it is more disturbing to know that SIECUS advocates for more graphic sex education material for children in their published 1995 Guidelines.⁹

B. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, LIKE THE NATION, ALSO ACCEPTED KINSEY’S JUNK SCIENCE AS SCIENTIFICALLY VERIFIED TRUTH, AND DEVELOPED ERRONEOUS SEX EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO GUIDE CHRISTIAN CHILDREN.

The Concordia Sex Education Series was first published in 1967, well after Dr. Alfred Kinsey’s “science” was on the road to being accepted as truth. Dr. Judith Reisman has stated, “Kinsey maintained a monopoly on all accredited sex education and human

⁶ Interviewer: “At least 188 children were timed with a stop watch.”

Gebhard: **“Second hand, or stop watch....Most....was done by one individual, a man with scientific training, not a known scientist. The other cases were done by parents, at our suggestion. And...nursery school personnel.”**

Interviewer: Was that at your suggestion too?

Gebhard: **Yes....we would ask them to watch it. And take notes. And if possible time it, and report back to us.”**

⁷Mary Calderone, *SIECUS Report*, May-July 1982, p. 6. “Few people realize that the great library collection of...the Kinsey Institute...was formed very specifically with one major field omitted: sex education. “[I]t seemed appropriate, not only to the Institute but to its major funding source, the National Institute of Mental Health, to leave this area for SIECUS to fill. Thus we applied and were approved for a highly important grant from the National Institute for Mental Health that was designed to implement a planned role for SIECUS to become the primary data base for the area of education for sexuality.”

⁸ Christie Hefner, Forward, *Sexuality and Medicine*, Volume II, E.E. Shelp, Reidel Publishing Co., 1986. “Through the Playboy Foundation, Hefner put his money where his mouth was. It made the initial grant to establish an Office of Research Services of the Sex Information and Education Council of the U.S. (SIECUS) in the late 60s.”

⁹ SIECUS 1995 Positions Statements for Children: “When sensitively used...sexually explicit visual, printed, or on-line materials can be valuable educational or personal aids... reduc[ing] ignorance and confusion and contributing to a wholesome concept of sexuality.”

sexuality operations.”¹⁰ Because Kinsey is the scientific authority for all educated understanding of human sexuality, it is possible to detect Dr. Kinsey’s “proofs” laced throughout the entire Concordia Sex Education Series both past and present. The following Chart, presents examples of findings from the Kinsey Reports and corresponding statements in the Concordia Sex Education Series:

| DR. KINSEY / SIECUS | CONCORDIA SEX EDUCATION SERIES |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Kinsey concluded that infants and youth had a right to express their sexuality and should receive sexuality education. Sex/AIDS education is taught in schools in classes dealing with family life, health, hygiene, home economics, physical education and even Abstinence Education.¹¹</p> | <p>1. The Concordia Sex Education Series, 1967: The books are intended for Kindergarten through adulthood; the 1998 Series: The books are intended for Toddler (3-5 years) through adulthood. The series is for use in the classroom and/or in the home.</p> |
| <p>2. Kinsey claimed “children are sexual from birth” – he eroticized infants, toddlers and young children without any biological capacity, based on pedophile experiments on infants and young children. Even Kinsey’s Institute Director, John Bancroft, acknowledged that there is a “biological basis” for the genitals and that is generative.¹²</p> | <p>2. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 6 (1998), under the heading <i>Children are Sexual Beings Too</i>, says: “It may be surprising to realize that our children are sexual beings from birth. For instance, a parent changing a male infant’s diaper may accidentally stimulate the child and be shocked to realize the child is having an erection. Similarly, researchers tell us that baby girls have vaginal lubrication regularly. In fact, a little girl being bounced on her parent’s knee may feel pleasant sensations and begin to make natural pelvic thrust movements.”¹³</p> |
| <p>3. Kinsey claimed 50% of married men and 40% of married women committed adultery and 85% of men and 50% of women committed fornication prior to marriage in the 1940’s and 50’s. However, there was no public health data to support this “finding” (i.e. increase in illegitimate births, VD, divorce, rape).¹⁴ Birth control and abortion are illegal during this time.</p> | <p>3. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 6 (1968), under the heading <i>A Cry for Help</i>: “In recent years sociologists have made public startling findings on the sex attitude and practices of modern men and women . . . Many of us didn’t want to believe, and many didn’t. Some were hopeful that in much of their sampling the sociologists had somehow overlooked church families . . . It was soon discovered that also church families have problems –</p> |

¹⁰ J.A. Reisman, “The Foundation of Modern Sex-Education is Kinsey’s Sex Science Fraud,” Louisville, Kentucky: First Principles Press, 1996, p. 5.

¹¹ SIECUS, *Sexuality in Man*, Scribners: New York, 1970, p. 6-7.

¹² John Bancroft, *Human Sexuality and its Problems*, Churchill Livingstone: Edinburgh, Scotland, 1989.

¹³ Lenore Buth, *How to Talk Confidently with Your Child about Sex*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1998, p. 23.

¹⁴ *Life*, August 24, 1953, p. 45.

| | |
|--|---|
| | serious problems growing out of unloving attitudes towards self and sex.” ¹⁵ |
| 4. Kinsey claimed 10 – 37% of the male population was homosexual. ¹⁶ | 4. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 6 (1968), under the heading <i>The Church Must Speak</i> : “Large segments of the male population are or have been involved in homosexual activities. Lesbianism among women is increasing.” ¹⁷ |
| 5. Kinsey claimed all forms of sodomy, anal and oral, are natural and healthy. “Oral Sex” along with Bestiality is considered in many state criminal statutes as “sodomy” and is illegal whether committed by those of the same sex or opposite sex, married or not. | 5. <i>Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 7 (1998)</i> , under the heading <i>Oral Sex</i> : “Statistics show that over 75% of married couples engage in oral sex . . . Oral sex can be so important to a man or woman that if it is with-held in the marriage relationship, it can literally tempt another person into another relationship.” |
| 6. SIECUS has sought to normalize pornography and disseminate it to school children through sex education. Dr. Reisman wrote, “Under SIECUS and its grassroots distributor, Planned Parenthood, pornography has become a primary pedagogical tool in sex education and AIDS training programs to credential sexuality professionals for all levels of public, private and <u>parochial schools</u> , Kindergarten through PhD.” ¹⁸ | 6. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 6 (1968), under the heading <i>Organizations Offering Assistance for the Christian Sex Educator</i> : Sex Information and Education Council of Canada, 20 St. Joseph Street, Suite 2, Toronto 5, Ontario. Sex Information and Education Council of the US (SIECUS), 1790 Broadway, New York, NY 10019. SIECUS Newsletter, quarterly, \$2 per year. ¹⁹ |
| 7. Alan Guttmacher relied upon Kinsey data and was a past president of <u>Planned Parenthood</u> . At the 1955 abortion conference sponsored by the Planned Parenthood Federation of America and attended by a host of birth control proponents and others who would play major roles in the legalization of abortion, the question of physician-induced abortion came up. <i>The discussion leader on this point was the famed sex researcher, Alfred Kinsey, who was introduced by conference chairman [Alan] Guttmacher as someone who could “give us the naked facts.” Providing a breakdown of illegal abortions, Kinsey stated that 87% of induced abortions were performed by</i> | 7. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 6 (1968), under the heading <i>For the Engaged and Married</i> : Guttmacher, Alan F., <i>The Complete Book of Birth Control</i> . New York: Ballantine Books, 1963. 152 pp. 50 cents. ²² |

¹⁵ Martin Wessler, *Christian View of Sex Education*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1968, p. 9.

¹⁶ Kinsey, Pomeroy, Martin, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, W. B. Saunders, n. 34, pp. 579, 638-641.

¹⁷ Martin Wessler, *supra.*, note 14, p. 17.

¹⁸ J.A. Reisman, “The Foundation of Modern Sex-Education is Kinsey’s Sex Science Fraud,” Louisville, Kentucky: First Principles Press, 1996, p. 3.

¹⁹ Martin Wessler, *supra.*, note 14, p. 86.

²² Martin Wessler, *supra.*, note 14, p. 81.

| | |
|--|---|
| <p><i>doctors</i> and about 8% were self-induced, and these could be ignored and it would not change the overall illegal abortion picture [Emphasis added.]²⁰ Pre <i>Roe v. Wade</i>, Dr. Guttmacher believed in the safety of physician-induced abortion and advocated for same.²¹ Today the Guttmacher Institute provides research for Planned Parenthood.</p> | |
| <p>8. Sex Researchers, Dr. William Masters and Virginia Johnson relied upon the data from The Kinsey Reports. They too were funded by <i>Playboy</i> and are well known for using prostitutes in therapy and glamorizing anal sodomy for women in <i>Playboy</i>.²³ Early in the 1970s, Dr. William H. Masters of St. Louis pronounced to the nation's press that homosexuality was "natural" and by direct implication a normal sexual act or sexual condition.²⁴ In so doing, Dr. Masters had "raised the status of the anus to the level of the vagina. What was until then a purely excretory organ had become a genital one — by decree."²⁵</p> | <p>8. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 7 (1998), under the heading <i>The Bodies We Have</i>: Concordia author Roger Sonnenberg cites Masters & Johnson for the proposition that: "The clitoris is unique in that it is the only organ in human anatomy whose purpose is exclusively that of erotic excitation and release."²⁶</p> |
| <p>9. Past president of the American Humanist Association Lester Kirkendall of University of Oregon, a psychologist, who relied upon Kinsey's data, served on the Board of <i>Penthouse Forum</i> and was a Co-Founder of SIECUS. In his article "Sex Education in the Future," published in the <i>Journal of Sex Education and Therapy</i> (1985), predicts that sex education programs of the future "will probe...sexual expression with same sex partners" and "even across generational lines." He proclaims with, "a diminished sense of guilt...these patterns will become legitimate" and "the emphasis on...normality and abnormality will be much diminished with these future trends."</p> | <p>9. Concordia Sex Education Series Book # 6 (1968), under the heading <i>A Resource List for the Christian Sex Educator</i>: For Adolescents Kirkendall, Lester A. <i>Understanding Sex</i>. Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1957. 48 pp. 60 cents. For the Program Planner Kirkendall, Lester A. <i>Sex Education as Human Relations: A Guide Book on Content and Methods for School Authorities and Teachers</i>. New York: Inor Publishing Co., 1950. 351 pp. \$4.50.²⁷</p> |

²⁰ Robert Marshall and Charles Donovan, *Blessed are the Barren: The Social Policy of Planned Parenthood*, Ignatius Press, San Francisco, California, 1991, p. 261.

²¹Id., p. 251.

²³ J.A. Reisman, *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, (2nd ed.) Arlington, Virginia: Institute for Media Education, 2000, p. 176.

²⁴ *New York Times*, November 18, 1971.

²⁵ Charles Socarides, *Beyond Sexual Freedom*, Quadrangle: New York, 1977, p. 121.

²⁶ Roger Sonnenberg, *Human Sexuality: A Christian Perspective*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1998, p. 71.

²⁷ Martin Wessler, *supra.*, p 81, 83.

In the interests of space and time, this list is not exhaustive. However, the compatibility of Concordia Sex Education materials with the findings of The Kinsey Reports and the work of Kinseyans is clear and could go on for pages and pages.

C. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, BY THE INCLUSION OF THE KINSEY REPORTS JUNK SCIENCE IN SEX EDUCATION CURRICULA, HAS NEGLECTED TO INVESTIGATE THE EFFECTS OF SEXUAL STIMULI ON THE HUMAN BRAIN.

On March 3, 1865, President Abraham Lincoln, finding pornographers “attempting to exploit the loneliness of Union soldiers away from home,” approved legislation censoring obscenity, which was defined simply as “tending to stir the sex impulses or to lead to sexually impure and lustful thoughts.”²⁸

Sex sells! How often have we heard that statement? But why does sex sell? Studies have shown that the more exciting the stimuli (such as sex, horror and/or violent imagery) the faster and stronger will our brain process it.²⁹ Or simply put, the stronger the stimuli, the stronger the brain response. The brain controls our body as well as our emotional and physical health, so false visual stimuli are recorded as “real” and manifest similar changes in our vital signs, as do “real” images. Neuropsychologist Margaret Kemeny says:

...anytime we feel anything...think anything...imagine anything, there is activity in the brain that is taking place...that can then lead to a cascade of changes that have an impact on health.

Dr. Richard Restak, author of the book: The Brain, reported that in 3/10ths of a second a visual image passes from the eye through the brain, and the brain is structurally changed and memories are created – we literally “grow new brain” with each visual experience. Dr. Judith Reisman in her report entitled, “Toxic Media Alters the Human Brain Subverting Free Speech Law & Public Policies,” reports that obscenity (as defined by President Lincoln), “is on record as neurochemically triggering vulnerable viewers to engage in new, risky criminal and violent sexual conduct.”³⁰

With the onset of “toxic media”, which Dr. Judith Reisman has pointed out is included in explicit sex education materials, is it any wonder that statistics show corresponding increase in sexual activity amongst our children over the last forty years? I observed this destructive trend while working in the Oakland County STD Clinic as we were beginning to test sexual perpetrators of ten years of age. Eroticized youth are preying on younger children inside and outside the home. The United States Department of Justice’s National Incident-Based Reporting System, (NIBRS) reports in July 2000 that 67% of all sex assault victims were reported as children 0-17 years old and that 37% of these attacks were made on children under 12.³¹

²⁸ Terrance Murphy, *Censorship, Government and Obscenity*, Helicon: Baltimore, Maryland, 1963, p. 75.

²⁹ Richard Restak, *The Brain*, Bantam Books: New York, New York, 1984, Bill Moyers, “Mind and Body: The Brain,” PBS TV, Washington, D.C., February 1993.

³⁰ J. A. Reisman, “Changing the Structure of the Generic Human Brain Through Sexually Explicit Media & Undoing “Common Law,” Arlington, VA, Institute for Media Education, p. ii.

³¹ The US DoJ, National Incident-Based Reporting System, (NIBRS) “Sexual Assault of Young Children as Reported to Law Enforcement: Victim, Incident, and Offender Characteristics,” July 2000, NCJ 182990.

Does sex education provoke children to engage in sexual activity? Webster's dictionary defines "provoke" as "to act toward in a way to excite anger or resentment: offend; to stimulate; arouse; incite." The media has learned to sell their products by stimulating levels of excitation (high arousal) in consumers. Dr. Kinsey and his associates wanted to "provoke" our society toward complete sexual freedom which necessarily included every deviant sex act. It was determined, by sexual revolutionaries bent on changing laws and morals, to bring this about was to form young minds and attitudes by normalizing all sexual contacts and conduct through explicit sex ed and images built on The Kinsey Reports.

Dr. Restak confirms that the human brain has no apparatus to distinguish real observations from media fantasy.³² Do you remember the commercial "Is it live or is it Memorex?" Sandra Ackerman, in her book: *Discovering the Brain*, informs us that "the brain knows no present so relevant experience conjures up images of scenes witnessed in the past."³³ As we direct children repeatedly to the topic of sex (their genitals, sexual intercourse, deviant sex acts, etc.) we, as Kinsey and his associates knew, are encoding a message. Dr. Reisman put it best when she wrote, "the didactic art of obscenity . . . sexual media, encode who woman and man are, who they should be."³⁴ The SIECUS 1991 Guidelines, Key Concept #4, Sexual Behavior, Topic 5: Human Sexual Response, Level 3 says: "Men and women may be sexually aroused by thoughts, feelings, sights, smells, sounds and touches."

As illustration to Dr. Reisman's point about sexual media, a Lutheran Pastor's daughter recently ended a relationship with a young man with whom she had "cohabitated" and committed "fornication," illegal acts in the American common law prior to law changes beginning in 1955, based upon the junk science of The Kinsey Reports. This young lady had gone through the Concordia Sex Education Series in the 1980's in her Lutheran school. She summed up the tragic effects of the Concordia series most profoundly when she stated, "They (Concordia) taught us that we were sexual, but that God forgives our sins." The Song of Songs directs not to awaken love before its time.

We have gone from a society that prized childhood modesty and protected them from sex stimuli, to a society that bombards children with sex stimuli. Simply put, the National Research Council was right when it stated in 1989 that, "The history of research on human sexuality, at least in the U.S., can be divided somewhat crudely into the pre-Kinsey and post-Kinsey eras...The Kinsey studies established "sexuality as a legitimate object of scientific inquiry...[and] what was thought to be normal sexuality."

The profound wisdom from the author of *How Shall I Tell My Child*, a "self knowledge" book for parents written in 1912 is most instructive today. The author, Mrs.

³² Richard Restak, *The Brain*, Bantam Books: New York, New York, 1984.

³³ Sandra Ackerman, *Discovering the Brain*, Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences, National Academy Press: Washington, D.C., 1992, p. 27.

³⁴ J. A. Reisman, "Changing the Structure of the Generic Human Brain Through Sexually Explicit Media & Undoing "Common Law," Arlington, VA, Institute for Media Education, p. 6.

Woodallen Chapman, answered the question posed to her: “Should a mother tell her daughter of 14 everything about the “marriage relation” in detail?” Her response:

To this mother I say with renewed emphasis, that information does not protect. I would be extremely careful in what I said to a girl of 14. To “tell her everything in detail,” as this mother suggests, might be to **put her in a place of great danger**. We must remember that, just at this period of her life, the creative forces of her being are receiving a new impulse. Not only are new powers awakening in her body, but in her mind as well. **Especially is her imagination increasing greatly** in its activity, and is **prone to follow any suggestion** made to it along the line of this new process of development. And therein lies the danger of the plan this mother proposes.(Emphasis Added.)³⁵

I doubt that Mrs. Woodallen Chapman had the scientific studies on the brain to back up her observation as we do today. She most likely only had common sense and the Wisdom from above to rely upon. Dr. Judith Reisman, who does have the scientific studies on the brain, in a recent phone conversation gave me permission to quote her on the following: The moment you begin to talk to kids about life issues broken down as mere technique, you are eroticizing them to themselves, to each other and to adults.” She emphasized that “any church situation should be safe from erotic content.”

D. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, LIKE THE NATION, FOLLOWED RECOMMENDATIONS TO BEGIN SEX EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO DECREASE CRIME RATES AND “SEXUAL” PROBLEMS IN OUR SOCIETY.

The church needs to speak of the importance of sex, if for no other reason than to refute any presumption that the church is opposed to sex . . . It can hope to negate the carnal sex emphasis growing out of the sex revolution and to establish a standard of sex life acceptable to Christian men and women.

Martin Wessler, *Christian View of Sex Education*, 1968.

Dr. Alfred Kinsey prophesied and promised to those who adopted his sexuality model better sexual health. But laws from America’s founding to the 1950’s prohibited all sexual conduct or contacts outside of marriage. Since Kinsey claimed to have “scientifically” proven that the “Greatest Generation” was far more sexually active than they had let on, state penal law protecting marriage had to be overhauled because it did not respond to a wide human need. And laws had to be changed before sex education could be introduced into the classroom and criminal behaviors taught. However, it is important to look at data from The Kinsey Reports that gave legal “reformers” the scientific authority for NORMAL sexuality who supplanted moral authority in the law based on the following sample: Of the 5,300 White Males which comprised Kinsey’s sample: 2,446 were convicts; 946 were homosexuals; 57 were special homosexuals

³⁵ Mrs. Woodallen Chapman, *How Shall I Tell My Child?*, Fleming H. Revell Company: London, England, 1912, p. 51.

having more than 100 partners; 50 were transvestite; 117 were mentally ill; 342 “Other;” 650 were boys, leaving a sample of 4,628 n = Aberrant and 873 n =“Normal.”³⁶

Legal experts convened and began to look at state laws that once protected marriage. Often Dr. Alfred Kinsey and/or Wardell Pomeroy brought their knowledge of “normal” sexuality to state law revision committees working as consultants and/or advisors.³⁷ Pomeroy was a coauthor on the *Male* and *Female* volumes and, according to Kinsey biographer James Jones, physically intimate with both Kinsey and his wife.³⁸ Illinois, through the efforts of the “Illinois Commission on Sex Offenders” in 1953, became one of the first states in which “science-based” legal reform was used to construct a new view of human sexuality in state penal law, and became an often used template for many other state revisions that followed.”³⁹

The outcome of the revision process led by the august and well-respected American Law Institute (ALI) was to “abolish” or deconstruct the American Common Law. The ALI’s Model Penal Code’s “Sex Offenses” section did not reflect man as made in the image of God, but rather as unregenerate and unable to be bound by the unnatural constraints of God’s first institution, marriage. With “children sexual from birth” and state laws permitting sexual contact and conduct between “consenting adults” and decriminalizing fornication and cohabitation for the unmarried, school doors were opened to Comprehensive Sex Education, thereby reducing parental authority and responsibility for their own children in the important matter of life. The Illinois Commission found sex education a crime prevention method and parents unfit to prepare children:

“Children of our times are inadequately trained to live in a free society. The inability of some parents to rear children in a democratic atmosphere and, at the same time, to observe the conventions of society is a fact that needs consideration . . . (Crime) Prevention through mental hygiene and sex education for both adults and children may prove to be effective. Sex education is more than information about physiological functions; it must consider the more subtle emotional attitude toward both sexes and their relationship to one another.” (Illinois Commission, p. 37. 1953)

The mission of the Penal Reformers was to reduce crime. However, once the erroneous Kinsey data was taught in the schools, there was a upsurge in sex and violent crimes across the nation. Forcible rape increased five-fold above murders from 1960 to 1999 (70% increase in murder versus a 418% increase in “forcible rape”).⁴⁰ Reports of Child

³⁶ Gebhard and Johnson, *The Kinsey Data; Marginal Tabulations*, 1979, pp. 3, 4, 5, 6.

³⁷ Illinois Commission on the Sex Offender, March 19, 1953, p. 52; Kinsey Testimony to California Legislative subcommittee, 1949; Wardell Pomeroy, *Dr. Kinsey and the Institute for Sex Research*, Harper & Row: New York, New York, 1952, pp 210-211.

³⁸ James H. Jones, Alfred C. Kinsey, *A Public/Private Life*, W.W. Norton: New York, 1997, p. 603, 608.

³⁹ Revision Commissions in Kentucky (1974), New Jersey (1979), Kansas (1970), Missouri (1979) to name a few.

⁴⁰ FBI Uniform Crime Report 2000

Sexual Abuse from 1976 through 1999 rose 5,071%.⁴¹ Even a new statistic, which has not been tracked officially for a long period of time, school rapes saw a 58% increase from 1994 to 1999.⁴² (See Appendix 3 for crime graphs.)

As the protective walls of marriage in the Post-Kinsey era have been removed, crimes against women and children have skyrocketed. As I reported in my paper in Appendix 1, sexual activity amongst children has risen dramatically, according to the 1997 Institute of Medicine's Committee. Sexually Transmitted Diseases are epidemic since sexual entitlement has entered our schools and parents were eliminated. Younger and younger children are becoming diseased. (See Appendix 3.)

How has the Christian community fared in all of these statistics? Do we stand out as separate, dedicated and apart . . . holy? Most intimate subjects were just that - private and not discussed in public, that is, prior to Kinsey, whose aim was to make sex a household word. Prior to Kinsey, when modesty and chastity were prized, the source of the nation's moral authority, the Lord in His Word did not speak to the Church in explicit carnal terms. Yet Roger Sonnenberg, author for Concordia Publishing House, writing in 1998 for Lutheran educators, who have been teaching the Kinseyan Concordia Sex Education Series to young Christian boys and girls since the 1960s, reported the unsurprising fact that "Statistics show that Christian young people going to Christian schools are almost as sexually active as those attending public schools."⁴³

Dr. Judith Reisman often says "bad data give bad results." The scientific data that we accepted has now been proven to be in error. It has cost the nation, but it has been especially costly in human terms to women and children. We need not be surprised by this either, because God warned those who replaced Him with a man centered religion, it inevitably leads to the worship of the human "generative powers" as in Romans 1: 22 – 25:

"Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, and changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts to dishonor their own bodies between themselves: who changed the truth of God into a lie and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen."

VI. VIOLATIONS OF AMERICAN LAW

"Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is liberty."
II Corinthians 3:17

⁴¹ "Trends in Child Abuse and Neglect: A National Perspective, The American Humane Association, Children's Division, Denver, Colorado, 1984, p. 22. Sex Abuse statistics, p. 106, Table A-IV-16.

⁴² US Department. of Education and US Dpt of Justice, *Indicators of School Crime and Safety*, 1999 September 1999.

⁴³ Roger Sonneberg, "Sex! Why is everyone talking about it Except the Church," *Lutheran Educator*, Vol. 133, #3, January/February, 1998, p. 5.

“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness – that to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men . . .”

The Declaration of Independence

At the birth of our great nation, the leaders recognized the need for *authority* in order to have a *civilized* society. Thus, our government was formed and laws were largely adopted from the English Common Law. Rooted in the common law was centuries of common experience, common sense and Christian principles allowing that God and His Word were the absolute moral authority.

Founding father of American Scholarship and Education, Noah Webster was dedicated to the importance of God and His Word in the formation of the nation’s institutions. In 1828, he wrote the first American Dictionary in which word meanings were based wherever possible on the King James Bible and contained the “greatest number of Biblical definitions given in any secular volume.”⁴⁴ Webster declared “education useless without the Bible” and the Christian religion “the most important and one of the first things in which all children, under a free government ought to be instructed.”⁴⁵ The definition of “civil liberty” in the 1828 dictionary is as follows:

an exemption from the arbitrary will of others, which exemption is secured by established laws, which restrain every man from injuring or controlling another. Hence, the restraints of law are essential to civil liberty.

In order to have liberty in this great land, our leaders established uniquely American laws foundational to which were the Ten Commandments. “Law,” according to the 1828 Noah Webster Dictionary was: “a rule, particularly an established or permanent rule, prescribed by the supreme power of a state to its subjects, for regulating their actions, particularly their social actions.” Law means fixed in every language. For the most part, the nation’s penal laws regarding “Crimes Against Persons” and “Crimes Against Morality” did not change from our founding until about 1955, when Kinsey’s Scientific Authority supplanted God’s Moral Authority. The new law was called the Model Penal Code and it contained a “Sex Offenses” section which diminished the Marriage Institution and protections for women and children.

Morris Ernst, ACLU founder, and lawyer for Planned Parenthood founder Margaret Sanger and Alfred Kinsey, took up the Kinsey’s “anything goes” banner and his mischaracterization of American husbands and fathers. Mr. Ernst stated;

These are the facts . . . The whole of our laws and customs in sexual matters is based on the avowed desire to protect the family, and at the base of the family is

⁴⁴ Noah Webster First American Dictionary of the English Language, 1828.

⁴⁵ Id.

the father. His behavior is revealed by the Kinsey Report to be quite different from anything the general public had supposed possible or reasonable.⁴⁶

Morris Ernst advocated the legalization of adultery, obscenity and abortion throughout his career. Ernst was influential and a personal representative for President Roosevelt during WWII.⁴⁷ According to Eunice Ray, founder of *RSVP America*, Kinsey had a profound impact on the law. She states, “Dr. Alfred Kinsey’s research was used to mislead and induce all state legislators and U.S. Justice Institutions – including the Supreme Court – into undoing and altering the fixed standards of well settled American Common Law, causing chaos and widespread corruption of American civil order, liberty, equality and justice.”

A. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, UPON DEVELOPING THE SEX EDUCATION SERIES ASSISTED KINSEY AND ASSOCIATES IN ERODING THE FIRST LEGAL INSTITUTION : MARRIAGE.

“If the foundations be destroyed, what can the righteous do?”
Psalm 11:3

The Purity Movement began in the second half of the 19th Century founded by women, both secular and sectarian, who understood that all law was moral. Laws then encouraged marital fidelity and strong families. Anthony Comstock, a New York social reformer worked in tandem with the Purity Movement recognizing the importance of strong moral foundations to a free people. During the “Comstock Era”, 1871 – 1920, Comstock along with the Purity Movement encouraged officials to enforce laws protecting and preserving marriage and virtuous conduct in general.⁴⁸

Dr. Kinsey was a “covert crusader” and his mission was to free America from “Victorian repression.” Armed with his fraudulent science on “normal” American sexuality, Kinsey knew, through his collaboration with French jurist and pedophile Rene Guyon, who considered women “parasites”⁴⁹, the only way was through a revision of American sex laws – a legal revolution.⁵⁰ Although Kinsey was promoted as a family man, he was breaking laws as he defied any moral constraints on human sexuality. He

⁴⁶ Ernst & Loth, *American Sexual Behavior and the Kinsey Report*; Graystone Press: New York, New York, p. 1948, p. 8.

⁴⁷ Morris Ernst, *A Love Affair with the Law*, The MacMillan Company, New York, 1968, back cover.

⁴⁸ Timothy J. Gilfoyle, *City of Eros, New York City Prostitution and the Commercialization of Sex*, W.W. Norton: New York, 1992, p 181.

⁴⁹ J.A. Reisman, *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, (2nd ed.) Arlington, Virginia: Institute for Media Education, 2000, p.190. Kinsey supplied early manuscripts of his *Male* volume to Guyon, who utilized some of Kinsey’s allegedly embargoed figures and charts to supplement his own comprehensive legal and legislative analysis of marriage and family law. An acknowledged pedophile, Guyon viewed children as viable sexual objects--and women as “parasites.” Until Kinsey, Guyon had no “scientific” authority for his sexual utopia. Kinsey’s statistics purported to show a discrepancy between “prescribed and actual behavior,” and no sexual abnormality. They strengthened Guyon’s arguments for abolishing laws restricting sexual conduct in concert with sympathetic acceptance of sex offenders, including rapists and pedophiles.

⁵⁰ Timothy J. Gilfoyle, *City of Eros, New York City Prostitution and the Commercialization of Sex*, W.W. Norton: New York, 1992, p 181.

was a committed atheist who sought to refocus the nation's attention from chastity or purity, to sex, sex organs and sexual functions. His favorite theme was "people who preach morality are hypocrites secretly obsessed with sex."⁵¹

Words and how they are defined have played a major role in who we are and what we believe in as a nation. The Bible (God's Word) defines marriage, gives wisdom in parenting and educates every member of the family in the knowledge of God. It is profound to realize how Kinsey's influence assisted in changing the meaning of words. Take for instance the word "marriage," notice how it is altered, and the nation along with it, when we go from moral authority to fraudulent scientific authority.

Modern Dictionary (1983) – The act of uniting a man and woman for life; a wedding; a close union.

Webster's Dictionary (1828) – The act of uniting a man and woman for life; wedlock; the legal union of a man and woman for life. Marriage is a contract both civil and religious by which the parties engage to live together in mutual affection and fidelity, till death shall separate them. Marriage was instituted by God Himself for the purposes of preventing the promiscuous intercourse of sexes, for promoting domestic felicity, and for securing the maintenance and education of children. "Marriage is honorable in all and the bed undefiled." Hebrews 13.

Prior to Kinsey there was the "marital act", the only socially approved and lawful sexual congress, and "carnal knowledge," every other sexual contact and conduct outside of marriage which was frowned upon socially and illegal. Kinseyan sex education entered schools in 1964, dispensed with chastity and modesty, and has dramatically changed society's attitude and the laws governing sexual conduct.

Dr. Kinsey had "scientifically proven" to legal elites and those in the therapeutic disciplines that we as a nation were not following our own laws, because morality was equated with normality. According to Kinsey there was no normality or abnormality. For married couples, he claimed they had fornicated prior to marriage, committed adultery frequently and engaged in sexual activity that was considered the "abominable and detestable crime against nature not fit to be mentioned," (i.e. oral and anal sodomy).⁵² However, no public health report data backed up Kinsey's claims regarding the behavior of married couples. There was no birth control, yet for all of Kinsey's claims of wide spread adultery and fornication, the birth rate remained stable; STD's were low as were illegitimate births. It was only after Dr. Kinsey's science supplanted moral authority did laws and social attitudes change, then disease and dysfunction began to skyrocket with STD's, illegitimate births and divorce. Michael Balfour in his book, *Propaganda in War, 1939 – 1945*, made a statement which would explain America's shift, based on Kinsey, one man's interpretation of "normal," to immorality and the breakdown of marriages. He stated "

⁵¹ J.A. Reisman, *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, (2nd ed.) Arlington, Virginia: Institute for Media Education, 2000, p.14.

⁵² William Blackstone, *Blackstone Law's of England*, Oxford University: England, Vol. #4, 1769, p. 215.

When someone in public life can persuade enough people to act on the assumption that his interpretation is valid, he can so influence the character of the future as to make it consistent with his predictions.”⁵³

Kinsey’s data profoundly affected marriage according to Dr. Judith Reisman in her book, *Kinsey, Crimes and Consequences*. She states, “Once believed, Kinsey’s fornication and adultery data created widespread distrust between men and women. “Love” became the reason for engaging in sex without a marriage license – a mere contract, a “piece of paper.” In fact, the covert suspicion that “good” women were secretly sexually promiscuous dramatically weakened women’s historic negotiating power to withhold sex until men contracted to love, honor and cherish via marriage, children, protection and provision. Once women lost virginity as a marital bargaining chip, their sexual ability and availability became their backup bargaining tool.”⁵⁴

As Dr. Kinsey and his associates began to attack marriage and the core nature of human beings, there is little documentation to be found in response from the Christian Church. It was not until after Dr. Kinsey’s propaganda had infiltrated our society for 20 years did we see a response from the Lutheran Church Missouri Synod. That response was from the Concordia Publishing House, “Learning About Sex” series, which taught Christians that they were sexual beings from birth. It is not too much to say that the Christian Church’s weak response to The Kinsey Report’s fundamental challenge to the nature and source of our being, has put our nation at risk: As Samuel Adams restated the wisdom of the ages, “while the people are virtuous they cannot be subdued; but when once they lose their virtue they will be ready to surrender their liberties to the first external or internal invader.”⁵⁵

B. HAD THE AMERICAN LAW GOVERNING SEXUAL CONTACTS AND CONDUCT NOT BEEN CHANGED BEGINNING IN THE 1950S, THE “LEARNING ABOUT SEX” SERIES WOULD HAVE BEEN IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW.

The American Law Institute: The unsung heroes of the sexual revolution. In 1960 this group of legal scholars drafted a model penal code that decriminalized sexual activity between consenting adults (from sodomy to fornication).

Playboy, December 1999 issue.

In 1948, Dr. Alfred Kinsey’s book, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, was published claiming: Ten to 37% of men were sometimes homosexual (man is naturally bisexual), 95% of men were sex offenders, 69% of men frequented prostitutes and 85%

⁵³ Michael Balfour, *Propaganda in War: 1939-1945, Policies and Public in Britain and Germany*, Routledge & Kegan, Ppaul, 1979, p. 424.

⁵⁴ J.A. Reisman, *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, (2nd ed.), Arlington, Virginia: Institute for Media Education, 2000, p.219.

⁵⁵ Samuel Adams, Stephen K. McDowell & Mark A. Beliles, *American Providential History*, Providence Press: Charlottesville, Virginia, 1988, p. 148.

of young men had premarital intercourse.⁵⁶ Also in 1948, four books were published in collaboration with the Kinsey Reports reinforcing the Kinsey Reports and calling for legal reform of sex crimes. These books were: 1) *Sex Habits of American Men: A Symposium of the Kinsey Reports* by Albert Deutsch; 2) *The Ethics of Sexual Acts* by Rene Guyon (an acknowledged pedophile); 3) *About the Kinsey Reports* by Donald Geddes and Enid Curie; and 4) *American Sexual Behavior and the Kinsey Reports* by Morris Ernst (ACLU lawyer). These books instilled a confidence that Kinsey was factual and objective and gave him distinguished scholarly support from the Bar.

The American Law Institute produced the Model Penal Code (MPC) funded by The Rockefeller Foundation, Kinsey's funder also. The MPC dealt extensively with changing sex crimes and penalties. The American Bar Association adopted the MPC draft of the sex offenses section in 1955.⁵⁷ It is important to note that the public was crying out for stricter penalties for sex crimes during this period. J. Edgar Hoover called for a "war on the sex criminal . . . (who) has become a sinister threat to American childhood and womanhood."⁵⁸

Instead of responding to the people and enforcing and strengthening sex laws, a small cadre of legal elites, psychiatrists and Kinsey team were advocating to legislatures that state laws be more permissive. The penal reform movement was private and funded with private funds, not guided by the people and for the people. The 1953 Illinois Fact Finding Commission dismissed the well-known desire of the people for greater protections by finding; "It (the commission) also believes that a cultural tendency to overprotect women and children often leads parents and others following a sexual abuse to actions which are much more detrimental to the welfare of the victim than the offense itself."⁵⁹

Dr. Linda Jeffrey, *RSVP America's* Director of Research, has reviewed the legal reforms in over 30 states. Most states revised penal laws for the first time post 1955 guided by the Kinsey-based MPC. The following trends are consistent in state revisions:

1. Rape, once clearly understood, has been redefined and graded and in some cases eliminated as in Minnesota and New Jersey.
2. Penalties for sex offenses are reduced.
3. The states Fact Finding Commissions claimed we were not changing the moral based law code, but rather holding on to old traditions.
4. Criminal codes encourage plea-bargains to reduce sex crimes to misdemeanors or a non-sex crime.
5. Criminal sanctions are rejected in favor of therapy.

⁵⁶Kinsey summarizes his findings stating these statistics in his testimony to the California Subcommittee on Sex Crimes of the Assembly Interim Committee on Judicial System and Judicial Process, March 8, 1950, p. 57.

⁵⁷ Jonathan Gathorne Hardy, *Alfred Kinsey: Sex, The Measure of all Things*, Chatto & Windus: London, 1998, p. 449.

⁵⁸ P. Sanday, *A Woman Scorned: Acquaintance Rape on Trial*, Doubleday: New York, 1996, p. 144-145.

⁵⁹ The Report of the Illinois Commission on Sex Offenders, March 15, 1953, p. 36.

6. The Criminal Code Revisions of New York and Illinois are models for most states.

The penalty of the law measures the value of the crime and the extent to which a people will protect the vulnerable. To the degree to which laws were loosened we have lost in equal measure that which civilized us and we diminished that which had been most precious and dear to us as a society. God's institution of marriage was attacked, and:

- Women and children have lost legal protections, standing and, most of all, value.
- Kinsey's science was used to redefine human beings as sexual beings.
- Contraception was legalized and abortion became more common. America has jeopardized its very future by killing the most precious, the unborn.
- Kinsey claimed 95% of men were sex offenders under Common Law.
- Kinsey claimed rape was harmless, and today, rape is defined down to sexual assault, sexual misconduct, simple assault, or like terms.
- Finally, the moral innocence of children has been laid waste by sex education and pedophilia (4 out of 5 pedophiles get off serving no time).⁶⁰

Sex education or rather talks about life, how life begins and the source of all life, prior to Kinsey had been left up to the family to handle. It was against the law to teach sex education in the classroom. As Eunice Ray stated, "Sex education in American schools was not possible without changes to state penal law, because the acts to be discussed or taught in sex education were illegal in every state." For example, it was socially unacceptable and in many states against the law to say *sexual intercourse*, let alone mention the anatomically correct terms for the genitals. To use sexual language with children was considered to be "molesting a minor with immoral intent."⁶¹ Without the revisions in the laws, thanks to Dr. Kinsey, the Concordia Sex Education Series would have been against the law in most, if not all, states.

C. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE BROKE THE MISSOURI STATE LAW IN 1967, IN THE RELEASE OF THE CONCORDIA SEX EDUCATION SERIES. IF THE STATE LAW IS THAT PROHIBITIVE, WHAT MUST GOD'S STANDARD BE?

⁶⁰ American Bar Association. 1990. The Probation Response to Child Sexual Abuse Offenders: How Is It Working? Executive Summary. State Justice Institute, Grant, SJI-88-11J-E-015, p. 1

⁶¹ Missouri Revised Statutes, section 563.160. 1969. See also Richardson, O. 1973. Sexual offenses under the proposed Missouri Criminal Code. *Missouri Law Review*, 38(3) Columbia University of Missouri Law School, p. 397.

“Molesting Minor with Immoral Intent 1969 statutes, enacted in 1949 . . . Intent is not an essential element of the crime and consent is not a defense. A minor is any person under the age of 21 years. Because the statute proscribes all types of sexual offenses, including rape, sodomy, touching, indecent exposure and even the mere mention of sexual intercourse, the true “age of consent” in Missouri is 21 years.

Missouri Law Review, Summer, 1973, p. 397.

The state of Missouri had not revised the penal law since 1835, when Missouri entered the Union. The revision of the Common Law began in 1969. It passed the legislature in 1978 and became effective in 1979. The authorities used by the Missouri revision committee were the American Law Institute’s Model Penal Code; the revision of Wisconsin, Illinois and Minnesota. The 1973 Symposium on the proposed law reform published in the *Missouri Bar Review* cited to Dr. Kinsey 14 times. Missouri legal elites, who worked on the revision stated, “Missouri laws are obsolete, vague, deficient, with uneven punishment and little reflection of present-day thought.⁶² Most of them abound with archaisms, euphemisms and emotionally charged words . . . (and) carry extremely severe punishment.”⁶³

It was against the law in the state of Missouri to mention the words *sexual intercourse* in the classroom to anyone under the age 21. Yet, if you refer back to Concordia’s original series dated 1967, you can read the following: “This book may be used as part of a school curriculum. Whenever it is used, teachers should always keep the home informed about what it is doing. While parents will appreciate help in this area, they also want to know what is being taught to their children.”⁶⁴ In the book, *Take the High Road*, “*sexual intercourse*” is mentioned eight (8) times (pg. 17, 69, 70, 72, 73). Sadly, *purity* is mentioned once as “out of date” and the statement “keep yourself pure” is made once with no scriptural reference.⁶⁵

In Luther’s seminal *Small Catechism*, under the Fourth Commandment, it states, “What does this mean? We should fear and love God so that we do not despise or anger our parents and other authorities.” (Emphasis added.) We are directed to follow the law especially when it is in agreement with the Scripture. In 1967, contrary to Luther’s admonition, Concordia Sex Education broke Missouri state law, in spirit and letter, and did so for 12 years, until the laws -founded on the principles of the Old and New Testament - were changed based on the erroneous and unnatural Kinseyan view of human sexuality found in Romans I.

VII. VIOLATIONS OF BIBLICAL LAW

⁶² Danforth, J. C., 1973, Symposium—Proposed Missouri Criminal Code. The Modern Criminal Code for Missouri (Tentative Draft)—A Challenge Fulfilled and the Challenge Presented. *Missouri Law Review*, 38(3) Columbia University of Missouri Law School, p. 361-362.

⁶³ Richardson, O. 1973. Sexual offenses under the proposed Missouri Criminal Code. *Missouri Law Review*, 38(3) Columbia: University of Missouri Law School, p. 371.

⁶⁴ A. J. Bueltmann, *Take the High Road*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1967, p. 6.

⁶⁵ A. J. Bueltmann, *Take the High Road*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1967, p. 70.

“My people are destroyed for a lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: seeing thou has forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children.” Hosea 4:6

Dr. Alfred Kinsey was raised in a strict church going Methodist household. He drifted away from his parents and eventually broke relations with his father. Kinsey biographer Wardell Pomeroy wrote that his estrangement from his father “had been a deep hurt even though Kinsey had little feeling for his family, and seldom talked about them in later years.”⁶⁶

Pomeroy insisted that by age 26, Kinsey was a committed atheist saying, “Kinsey began to lose his belief as a college student, when his study of science disclosed to him what he saw as a basic incongruity between it and religion. Having so decided for himself, he could not understand why every other scientist did not think as he did.”⁶⁷

Kinsey was a trained in biology as a zoologist whose study and expertise was the gall wasps. He eventually would hold that one could study the sexual behavior of humans as one studied the gall wasp.⁶⁸ Therein, was Dr. Kinsey’s first mistake. The Bible says that man was made in the image and likeness of God (Genesis 1:26). This image of God makes man unique and sets him apart from animals and insects. Animals and insects are born with pre-coded instincts that order life’s activities. Man however, was born with drives, impulses and reflexes, but not instincts. Man also has the ability to reason and can move from simple logic to complex thoughts.

Reducing man to an animal or insect is to deny that he was made in the image of God and robs him of his dignity.⁶⁹ This also denies the Bible, which of all the ethical systems in the world, there is no higher standard nor more pure motive for right behavior. Thus, Dr. Kinsey set the stage for a major shift in morality in American institutions, including the Church.

A. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, IN ADAPTING KINSEY’S JUNK SCIENCE, SHIFTED THE CHURCH FROM THE BIBLICAL STANDARD OF HUMAN BEINGS CAPABLE OF CHASTITY AND PURITY, TO DR. KINSEY’S EVOLUTIONARY STANDARD OF SEXUAL BEINGS ENTITLED TO SEXUAL FREEDOM FROM BIRTH.

⁶⁶Wardell Pomeroy, *Kinsey and The Institute from Sex Research*, Harper & Row: New York,N.Y.,1972, p.21.

⁶⁷Wardell Pomeroy, *Kinsey and The Institute from Sex Research*, Harper & Row: New York, N.Y., 1972, p.21.

⁶⁸ Kinsey, Pomeroy and Martin, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, W.B. Saunders: Philadelphia, PA, 1948,p.9.

⁶⁹ Gary & Anne Marie Ezzo, *Growing Kids God's Way*, Growing Families International Press: Chatsworth, CA, 200, p.15.

Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ.
Colossians 2:8

I was born in 1961, after Dr. Kinsey's science was on its way to being accepted in America's institutions as scientific truth. In order to look back to the previous standard of chastity and purity, one has to review historical documents, because current college text books, medical journals, legal documents or even "Christian" sex education books are based on the Kinsey Reports throughout.

In reviewing the *King James Bible*, the *American Dictionary of the English Language – Noah Webster 1828*, part of the *Common Law* and a book entitled *How Shall I Tell My Child* (1912), I found them untouched by Dr. Alfred Kinsey and his junk science.

When looking at "Crimes Against Persons" and "Offenses Against Morality" and other sections of the Common Law it is clear only the marital act was lawful physical intimate contact with a husband or wife. All other carnal knowledge was against the law primarily for the protection of the marriage institutions, but also for the protection of women and children from predators. As much of the common law, an example of unlawful carnal knowledge, adultery, was based on Leviticus 18:20:

"Moreover thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbor's wife, to defile thyself with her."

With the Kinsey Reports "sex" is redefined to allow people to talk openly about intimate marital subjects. Sex enters American law as "Crimes Against Persons" and "Offenses Against Morality" become "Sex Offenses." Noah Webster, Schoolmaster to the Nation, in 1828 defines "sexual," as:

distinguishing the sex; pertaining to sex or the sexes; denoting what is peculiar to the distinction and office of male and female; as sexual characteristics; sexual intercourse, connection or commerce.

As for "sexual intercourse", Webster defines "intercourse," in 1828, as:

a running or passing between. Hence, 1. Communication; commerce; connection by reciprocal dealings between persons or nations, either in common affairs and civilities, in trade, or correspondence by letters. 2. Silent communication or exchange.

After the sexual revolution of the 1960s needed words to describe unlawful carnal knowledge as value neutral, "Intercourse" in 1983 was defined as "1. communication or dealings between people, countries, etc. 2. the sexual joining of two individuals; in full, sexual intercourse." For those wondering about the 1983 definition of "sex," it is;

“1. either of the two divisions of organisms distinguished as male and female. 2. the character of being male or female. 3. the attraction between the sexes. 4. sexual intercourse.”

Based on the Kinsey Reports, Dr. Mary Calderone revises Webster's 1828 definition of “sexuality:” “The state of being distinguished by sex,” to a broader more encompassing definition to include all the manifestations “sexualities,” i.e., conduct and/or contacts, between anyone and anything:

“Sexual” is defined as “of or involving sex, the sexes, the sex organs.” Sexuality” is under the word “sexual” in 1983 and not defined separately. Please note that the shift from purity and chastity can be seen as the focus on sex as an act and not a biological state of male or female and on the sex organs came to the forefront.

In 1950, to say to a parent, “I’d like to talk to your child about sex”, would have been very inappropriate and threatening to parental authority and responsibility. Using the term “sexuality” seemed to imply an objective scientific approach. However, as parents today may now know, Mary Calderone was referring to every possible genital conduct and/or contact when she used the term “sexuality.” Through SIECUS, Kinseyan sexuality was institutionalized nationwide⁷⁰ declaring it would “provide accurate information about human sexuality . . .” and building on their current sex education monopoly, only Kinsey trained teachers would be permitted in American school rooms K – 12 in order to develop “sexuality literacy”.

Concordia Publishing House, guided by SIECUS, introduced the broader “sexuality” to the Lutheran community in 1967. I looked back to the book that I went through in a Lutheran school as a preteen. Stated in the Editor’s Forward is the direction that Concordia took students:

“This series is meant to help boys and girls, men and women better understand their roles and their lives as Christian boys and girls and Christian men and women. The authors not only present the biological facts of sex but also seek to develop Christian attitudes toward sexuality.”⁷¹

The slide from Biblical admonition to Kinsey’s scientific standard is apparent. In the Forward chastity is not mentioned. As previously stated, “purity” in this book is referred to as “out of date,” but two sentences later, without Biblical support, “keep yourself pure” is gratuitously mentioned.⁷² “Chastity” is mentioned once in a section that gives information to students about gonorrhea and syphilis saying these venereal diseases “can be cured by early diagnosis . . .” Then, in regard to venereal disease, the

⁷⁰ Eunice Ray & Judith Reisman, *RSVP Training Manual to Combat the Threat to Children in an Eroticized Society Through Grass Roots Awareness and Action*, First Principles Inc.: Crestwood, KY, 1996, P.19.

⁷¹ A.J. Buelman, *Take the High Road*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1967, p. 5.

⁷² Id., p. 70.

consequence of promiscuity, the author offers that “This is an additional reason to be chaste in your life.”⁷³

Chaste, chastity, pure, purity, sex, sexuality and sexual intercourse in God’s Word are dealt with instructively. As Psalm 18:30 teaches; “As for God, His way is perfect: the word of the Lord is tried: He is a buckler to all those who trust in him.” *Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*, provided God’s perfect way through the words well tried on the matter:

1. Chaste is mentioned three times.
2. Pure, purely, pureness, purer, purify and purity are mentioned 130 times.
3. Sex, sexuality and sexual intercourse are never mentioned once in God’s Word.⁷⁴

Sex is not God’s word of art on the subject of intimate physical human relations, but rather pure and chaste are His. David tells us in Psalm 119:9, “How can a young man keep his way pure? By living according to your Word.” For His Church to be chaste and pure, is signaled in His deep and abiding concerns through His use of the terms repeatedly. God’s interest in purity and chastity lies in His being the life giver. Kinsey is preoccupied with sex and sexuality because, for Kinsey and his ilk, man is the life giver, sex is unbound from human biology, and, as sexual beings, sexual expression must not be limited on any basis for it is unhealthy to exercise moral self government and be chaste and pure.

Since my days in Lutheran school, I have reviewed the more current “Learning About Sex.” In series books 1 – 5, neither chastity nor purity are mentioned once. However, sex, sexuality, sexual, sexiest, (sexual) intercourse, sexy and sexier are mentioned a total of 354 times. (See Appendix 4.)

Finally, I referred again to Mrs. Woodallen Chapman’s *How Shall I Tell My Child* (1912), for advice and encouragement and to access a time not darkened by the Kinsey Reports. She unabashedly encourages chastity, purity and self-control for children as America’s founders expected as they laid the foundation upon the Bible and the Ten Commandments of God for the state government:

If we will turn our attention away from man’s misuse of his marvelous, God-given powers and fix it instead upon the lofty purposes which were in the mind of the Creator when He thus shared with man His most distinctive attribute, we shall receive such a revelation of divine beauty as shall forever after render all of life sacred and holy to us. After this revelation has been made to our souls, we will be ready to undertake the sacred task of initiating our little ones into life’s mysteries.⁷⁵

⁷³ Id., p.75.

⁷⁴ James Strong, *The New Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*, Thomas Nelson Publishers: Nashville, TN., 1982, pp. 186 & 851.

⁷⁵ Mrs. Woodallen Chapman, *How Shall I Tell My Child?* Fleming H. Revell Company: London, England, 1912, p.62.

B. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, IN ADOPTING DR. KINSEY'S JUNK SCIENCE, TOOK MODESTY OUT OF SEX EDUCATION.

“ . . . and the parts that are unpresentable are treated with modesty.”
I Corinthians 12:23b.

My research uncovered a most fascinating comment made by Justice Ginsburg when she spoke at the Columbia University School of Law. She said she uses the word “gender” instead of “sex” because the three letter word “sex” triggered the wrong associations, saying if the: “nine men (on the Supreme Court) hear that word, their first associations are not what you want it to be . . . “Gender” as substitute for “sex” will ward off distracting associations.”⁷⁶ Imagery or pictures in the mind, painted by words has a powerful effect on the brain, as referred to earlier in this paper.

Deuteronomy 6:6-7 instructs parents to teach our children “the words” that God commanded us. Simply, we are to use the words God uses. Justice Ginsberg's resistance to explicit terminology is in conformity with Scripture:

1. Anatomical terms for the genitals are never mentioned in the Scripture. A breast, an arm, etc. are referred to by name, but modesty is paramount in terms used relative to the genitalia, from which derives generative or creational, not degenerative or recreational.
2. There are no specifics on how physical intimacy occurs. God makes conceptual references to unfaithfulness in marriage as adultery (Ex. 20:14), lying with (Deut. 22:22, 25, 28) and nakedness (Lev. 18 : 5 – 20). God encourages us to keep our thoughts, as well as our children's pure (Philippians 4:8, Matt. 5:8, I Tim. 5:22b), never awaken love until it is ready (Song of Songs 2:7b, 3:5b, 8:4b) and encourages us not to let our mouth cause our flesh to sin (Ecc. 5:6).

Words, spoken by the tongue, have the power to inflame unnatural appetites and can precipitate both premature and unnatural curiosity.⁷⁷ When one talks about physical intimacy in the Bible, reference is sometimes made to the Song of Songs. Solomon praises his wife's body four times. He goes from head to toe, but moves from the thighs to the belly button and skips the genital area. His wife praises his body twice and goes from the chest / arms to the thighs with the same omission. Dr. Chris Mitchell, a theological expert at CPH, holds idolatry is frequently connected to sexual sin as “there is a connection between spiritual fidelity and sexual fidelity.” While many have misinterpreted The Song of Songs, Dr. Mitchell's research finds that it is not to be carnalized.

God warned us of the “lust of the eye” in I John 2:16 which was to look at anyone or any image that would devalue our own or another's body and spirit.” Dr. Judith Reisman, in a recent phone conversation stated, “The moment you begin to talk to

⁷⁶ The Washington Times (November 23, 1993). "Ginsburg on Sex", p.A5.

⁷⁷Linda Jeffrey and Eunice Ray, *Teaching Our Children: Marriage and Family Issues for Christian Parents*, First Principles Press Inc., Crestwood,KY,2000, p.40.

children about sex (paint a mental image), you eroticize them, to themselves, to each other and to adults.” This would help to explain why parents have been reluctant to break the natural-born modesty of children, a barrier protecting the mysteries of life and our bodies as Temples of the Holy Ghost, and eroticize their children via the Kinsey/SIECUS model of sex education. It is an unnatural act.

CPH has dealt with the natural “language barriers” in their words of encouragement to Lutheran educators:

An interesting problem arises at this point. Parents have repeatedly indicated that even after they have learned a new vocabulary, they find it difficult to use new terms and expressions. This is true of teachers also. The advice has been suggested that this can be overcome by repeatedly using these terms aloud and in private. Reading sex education books aloud to self and others, will help teachers and parents verbalize words they hesitate to speak to each other. Gradually a person comes to feel more comfortable and less shy.⁷⁸

SIECUS gave us similar advice:

“When sensitivity is used . . . sexually explicit visual, printed, or online materials can be valuable educational or personal aids reducing ignorance and confusion and contributing to a wholesome concept of sexuality.”⁷⁹

C. CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE, IN ADAPTING DR. KINSEY’S JUNK SCIENCE, ASSISTED THE CHURCH IN SHIFTING FROM THE WORSHIP OF GOD TO THE WORSHIP OF PRIAPUS AND BAAL.

Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools. And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God to an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonor their own bodies between themselves: who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served creature more than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen.

Romans 1 : 22 - 25

The ancient worship of Baal Peor and the Roman Priapus, Man’s generative powers, are well known because they are mentioned throughout scripture and history. The worship of these gods included many obscene ceremonies and the use of the male phallus and the female genitalia.⁸⁰ It has been well established that Dr. Kinsey was a man driven and

⁷⁸ Martin Wessler, *Christian View of Sex Education*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1968, p.58.

⁷⁹ SIECUS Newsletter, February/March 1996, p.21.

⁸⁰ Richard Payne Knight, *A Discourse on the Worship of Priapus: A History of Phallic Worship*, Fredonia Books: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1992, back cover.

preoccupied by genitalia and “sexual outlets.” In keeping with Aleister Crowley’s comment that “Science is the Method, Religion is the Aim”, Kinsey cleverly wrapped the “Ancient Theology,” the worship of Priapus and Baal Peor, in the respected robes of science and presented it to unsuspecting American institutions as the enlightened view of “human sexuality.”⁸¹

It is critical to note that images, not the Word or the foolishness of preaching, are necessary to meditate the tenets of the other belief system or false religion. Religions relying on images, (as opposed to the Word which was definite, definable, thus knowable), had powerful priests interpreting what the gods demanded of followers, putting the people at the mercy of the priest craft.⁸²

In Deut. 4:15 God states, “Take ye therefore good heed unto yourselves – lest ye corrupt yourselves and make you a graven image, the similitude of any figure, the likeness of male or female.” Pornography was a key to transforming America to the Kinsey model. No one knew what a person looked or acted like who was defined by their sexuality, other than whores. Before Kinsey, there were mothers, aunts, daughters, sisters, but for the first time Kinsey disciples Hugh Hefner gave us “Playmates” delivered (after breaking down the laws against publishing or posting obscenity with assistance from the Kinsey Reports) to the front door in living color. Before Kinsey, there were fathers, uncles, brothers, sons, but Hefner provided the image of “Playboy” persuading men to abandon love and protection for sex and recreation.

The images made via the etched silver photographic process can easily qualify as graven images made after the similitude of males and females. Kinsey did not invent a “new” religion for as Solomon stated, “there is no new thing under the sun.” He simply revived the Ancient Theology which could never be imported into America as what it is “religion.” Dr. Judith Reisman’s research for the U.S. Justice Study, *Images of Children, Crimes and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler*, conclusively demonstrated that since the 1950’s, pornographic images and explicit sex education materials have been used to mediate to the American public the sexual philosophy and practices of Priapus and Baal Peor from ancient times. The British Museum in London displays the artifacts and images of Priapus worship. Similar phallic images are contained in sex-ed materials the children view in the classroom.

Dr. Kinsey fashioned us into sexual beings. Our society embraced that fraud with devastating results. How ironic it is: As we hold worthy the god of sex and practice behaviors well known to the fertility cults of old, we move toward infertility and death. As a public health nurse, I have witnessed this in the STD clinic. One single sexual contact, outside of God’s first institution, marriage, can cause Chlamydia, a STD with no symptoms, that can render you sterile; or HIV, or HPV, another disease without symptoms that kills.

⁸¹ Eunice Ray, "Images, Kinsey & The Ancient Theology," *RSVP America*: Crestwood, Kentucky, June 2000, p.1.

⁸² Id., p.2.

Ted McIlvanna was a Methodist minister, who became a sexologist and eventually president of the Institute for Advanced Study of Human Sexuality (IASHS) in San Francisco, California a key accrediting agency for Kinseyan sexuality professionals and academics, understood better than most in the Church the meaning of man as god. Dr. McIlvanna produced a book entitled, *Meditation on the Gift of Sexuality* which probes “radical humanization” and illustrates with “graven images” worshipful sexual practices once known as obscenity and debauchery:

There are many ways to find God. One of the ways you may choose is through the glorious gift of sexuality . . . In order to value our sexuality, we must look with the eyes of reverence on our bodies and bodies of others, our sexual fantasies and the fantasies of others, the things we do sexually and the things others do sexually, and thereby discover whether or not we are celebrating sexuality in our world . . . If you look at your own and others’ sexuality with the deeper pleasures of understanding and radical humanization, you will find God . . .⁸³

Like his forbearer Kinsey, Dr. McIlvanna is not given to modesty, chastity, purity or self restraint when it comes to “sex,” “sex organs” and all forms of sexual outlets. Similarly to the Reverend McIlvanna, in book 7 of the “Learning About Sex” series, Roger Sonnenberg cites supportively to Clifford and Joyce Penner, who say:

We believe that somehow human sexual organs and the potential they represent are symbolic of the human potential to have a relationship to God. Why else when the relationship was broken, would God come and cover His creature up? It would seem that the total way in which two people get involved with each other in a sexual experience – the ecstatic, frantic, intense union that can occur – is a symbol of the way in which we can be intensely involved with God.⁸⁴

The focus of the “Learning About Sex” series is documented to be on “sex,” the “sex organs,” and different forms of “sex outlets.” It offers such guidance to children as “a vibrator can be a useful tool . . .” p. 74. It is also noteworthy here to submit for the record that a great deal of space is allocated to infertility.

VIII. CONCLUSION

For over 30 years, Concordia Publishing House, as in Romans I, has put Lutheran children in place of the Creator, who is blessed forever, directing them earlier into formerly unspeakable practices of what is nothing more than the death-oriented Ancient Theology or highly evolved paganism, which has in our times been presented in most education under the guise of “scientifically-based” sex education. CPH has directed Christian children’s attention to carnality and literally to their genitals and their own generative powers, thereby supplanting God’s Word on this crucial matter of life,

⁸³ Ted McIlvanna, *Meditations of the Gift of Sexuality*, Specific Press :San Francisco, CA, 1977,pp.1-2.

⁸⁴ Roger Sonnenberg, *Human Sexuality: A Christian Perspective*, Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, Missouri, 1998, pp.24-25.

marriage and family and His eternally sound direction to pursue life through modesty, self government, chastity and purity.

In II Cor.6:14, God commands us to not be "unequally yoked together with unbelievers." Dr. Kinsey was, by his own admission, an unbeliever who hated Christianity and the United States Congress found the "Reports" to be deliberately designed as an attack on Judeo-Christian morality. In the Preface to the Male volume, Kinsey stated; "At no time did we allow morality to determine what was scientifically acceptable." His avowed intent was to destroy the reigning Christian morality standard in America. Concordia Publishing House was misled, as were many others, by Dr. Kinsey's junk science presented to America as truth. CPH broke state laws presenting to Christian children what was thought to be a Christian view of "sexuality."

There is data spanning 30-plus years to record the effects of the "Learning About Sex" series amongst Lutheran children who are now adults. Most Lutherans admit that our kids seem to have the same levels of sexual immorality as the unchurched. The answer to this dilemma seems to be that an enemy hath done this over the last 50 years. During that time even the mainstream secular press confirms this and I've enclosed selected examples of this revolutionary agenda and its consequences.

There was a time when our society and the Lutheran Church supported a "creational view" of the marital act over the "recreational view" of human sexualities. Refer to Appendix 5 for pre-1948 "Principles of Healthy Love, Marriage, and Family Life." God calls us to: "come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing, and I will receive you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty"(II Cor. 6:16-17).

God calls us to "Prove all things, hold fast that which is good. Abstain from all appearance of evil"(I Thess. 5:21-22). I must admit that in the years of research and as our Lord revealed more to me, there were times when I was overwhelmed with this evil that pervades our society. But God calls us to "be not overcome of evil, but overcome evil with good"(Romans 12:21). This is not pleasant to look into, but for the sake of our children and for the sake of the Church it has to be done.

September 11, 2001 has changed our lives forever. Prior to this date, I have been amazed at the grace and mercy that God has shown for this nation. Maybe it was working in the S.T.D. Clinic that alerted me to the depths of sexual immorality in our nation or maybe it was being married to a Lutheran church worker and seeing sexual immorality rooted throughout the church, but I have often wondered how long God's grace and mercy would last. September 11 is a wake up call for all of us. God makes it very clear what our next act is to be in II Chron.7:14:

"If my people, which are called by my name,
shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek
my face, and turn from their wicked ways;
then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin,

and will heal their land."

IX. SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR CHASTITY & PURITY RESTORATION

Based on the information provided herein and the facts which demonstrate Concordia Publishing House's "Learning About Sex" series has, at its origin, Dr. Kinsey's junk science, I am asking that there be an immediate suspension of any further use of Concordia materials, pending Church review of solutions, which could include the following actions be taken:

1. The removal of the "Learning About Sex" series from homes, schools, bookstores, etc.

"And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them."

Ephesians 5:11

The entire nation has been affected by the Kinsey Reports, including the Christian Church. Concordia Publishing House has an opportunity to become the leaders in restoring virtue and the Godly standard of purity to our nation by purging Kinsey and exposing his junk science within the Church.

2. Inform the public truthfully as to why the "Learning About Sex" series is being recalled.

"And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind that ye may prove what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect will of God." Romans 12:2

God asks us in scripture to take a wrong and make it right. Once again CPH can be the leaders in this nation by explaining why the series must be recalled. Dr. Judith Reisman, who has reviewed other sex education curricula within school systems across the country, points out the liability due to harmful affects on children, when one teaches them the fraudulent Kinsey philosophy. She has suggested that when recalling sex education material, as with the tobacco industry, what is wrong with the series needs to be identified and made public.

3. Re-educate or deprogram Lutheran ministers, teachers and parents.

"To deliver thee from the way of the evil man, from the man that speaketh froward things, who leave the paths of uprightness, to walk in the ways of darkness; who rejoice to do evil, and delight in the frowardness of the wicked; whose ways are crooked, and they froward in their paths." Proverbs 2: 12 – 15

As stated before, law teaches and points the way. Our legal system was "reformed" in order to weaken marriage, family and protections for women and children, by moving us away from the Biblically based Common Law. Our legal system now states that fornication outside of marriage is not against the law. Pornography, adultery, abortion and sodomy are no longer against the law in many states. Couple this with a sex

education system that has wrongly educated children they are sexual beings and you have a society crippled with sexual immorality, including within the Church. When one is talking about sexuality within law, medicine, education or ministry the primary reference is to Dr. Alfred Kinsey and his associates. Please note Appendix 6, which is the listing of references of books on sexuality in the Concordia College Library in Seward, NE. Lester Kirkendall (SIECUS founder) and Margaret Sanger's (Planned Parenthood founder) books can be found as resources for parents, students and educators.

Lutheran pastors and teachers, like all of us, have been indoctrinated with the Kinsey philosophy. Teachers are instructed to attend SIECUS training before teaching sex education in the classroom. This has been evident in the Lutheran schools I have been involved with in Michigan and Nebraska. Please note Appendix 7, which is a note to teachers in a Lutheran school on how to discourage parents from pulling their children out of the "Learning About Sex" series. Teachers, who are trained in the SIECUS / Kinsey model, are encouraged to discourage any parent who wishes to not participate in a sex education class in a school. I submit that not one Lutheran teacher realizes that if it wasn't for Kinsey's junk science affecting our legal system, it would be against the law to teach the "Learning About Sex" series in a classroom.

4. Concordia Publishing House lead the nation by developing a program founded on Biblical purity and chastity for parents to teach their children void of Dr. Kinsey's sexuality and abstinence theories

As for God, His way is perfect: the Word of the Lord is tried: He is a buckler to all those that trust in Him." Psalm 18:30

Wherewithal shall a young man cleanse his way? by taking heed thereto according to thy Word." Psalm 119:9

God calls upon parents to instruct their children in Biblical principles (Deut. 11:8 – 20) so that our children may be the light of the world (Matthew 5:14). There is a huge difference between teacher sex education and parental purity instruction. Yet, since 1948, the only resources, both Christian and secular, available to parents are sex education programs. It is rare to find a program to aid parents in purity instruction for their children. CPH has an awesome opportunity to reach out to parents, many who are spiritually led, have been reluctant to take their children down the devastating path of sex education. CPH can lead parents back to God's Word.

X. SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY & CONCORDIA DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Ackerman, Sandra, *Discovering the Brain*, Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1992.

Adams, Samuel, Stephen K. McDowell and Mark A. Beliles, *American Providential History*, Providence Press, Charlottesville, VA 1988.

Alcorn, Randy C., *Restoring Sexual Sanity*, Coral Ridge Ministries, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, 2000.

Allyn, David, *Journal of American Studies*, "Private Acts/Public Policy: Alfred Kinsey, the American Law Institute and the Privatization of American Sexual Morality," Cambridge University Press, 1996.

Ameiss, Bill and Jane Graver, *Love, Sex, and God*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

An LYF Supplement, "How About A Date?" Terry Dittmer, LYF supports, March, 1985.

Balfour, Michael, *Propaganda in War: 1939-1945, Policies and **Public** in Britain and Germany*, Routledge & Kegan, Paul, 1979.

Bancroft, Dr. John, *Human Sexuality and its Problems*, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1989.

Bimler, Richard, *Sex and the New You*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

Blackstone, William, *Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England*, Oxford University, England, 1769.

Bueltmann, A.J., *Take The High Road*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1967.

Buth, Lenore, *How to Talk Confidently with Your Child About Sex, Parents Guide*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1995.

Buth, Lenore, *How to Talk Confidently with Your Child about Sex*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

Buth, Lenore, "Talking to Your Children about Sex," *Family Connection*, Lutheran Family Association, September 1998.

California Subcommittee on Sex Crimes of the Assembly Interim Committee on Judicial System and Judicial Process, March 8, 1950, Testimony of Alfred C. Kinsey.

Calderone, Dr. Mary, *SIECUS Report*, May-July 1982.

Chambers, Claire, *The SIECUS Circle, A Humanist Revolution*, Belmont, Massachusetts, Western Islands, 1977.

Chapman, Mrs. Woodallen, *How Shall I Tell My Child?*, Fleming H. Revell Company, London, England, 1912.

Committee on Prevention and Control of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Institute of Medicine, *The Hidden Epidemic: Confronting Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1997.

Cumming, James T. and Hans Moll, *Hey, God, What About...?*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1977.

Danforth, J.C., Symposium-Proposed Missouri Criminal Code. The Modern Criminal Code for Missouri (Tentative Draft) - A Challenge Fulfilled and the Challenge Presented. *Missouri Law Review*, 38(3) Columbia University of Missouri Law School. 1973

Elliott, Elisabeth, *Passion and Purity*, Fleming H. Revell, Grand Rapids, MI, 1984.

Ernst and Loth, *American Sexual Behavior and the Kinsey Report*, Graystone Press, New York, 1948.

Ernst, Morris, *A Love Affair with the Law*, MacMillan Company, New York, 1968.

Ezzo, Gary & Anne Marie, *Reflections of Moral Innocence*, Growing Families International, Chatsworth, CA, 1995.

Ezzo, Gary & Anne Marie, *Growing Kids God's Way*, Growing Families International, Simi Valley, CA, 2000.

FBI Uniform Crime Report 2000

Focus on the Family, *Sex, Lies & ...the Truth*, Tyndale House Publishers, Wheaton, IL, 1995.

Gebhard and Johnson, *The Kinsey Data; Marginal Tabulations*, 1979

Gilfoyle, Timothy J., *City of Eros, New York City Prostitution and the Commercialization of Sex*, W.W. Norton, New York, 1992.

Graver, Jane, *How You Are Changing*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

Greene, Carol, *Why Boys and Girls are Different*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1995.

Greene, Carol, *Why Boys & Girls Are Different*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

Gresh, Dannah, *And the Bride Wore White: Seven Secrets to Sexual Purity*, Moody Press, Chicago, IL, 1999.

Hardy, Jonathan Gathorne, *Alfred Kinsey: Sex, the Measure of All Things*, Chatto & Eindus, London, 1998.

Harris, Joshua, *I Kissed Dating Goodbye*, Multnomah Books, Sisters, OR, 1997.

Hart, Jeffrey. "Women Lost the Sexual Revolution," *Conservative Chronicle*, August 29, 2001.

Hefner, Christie, Forward, *Sexuality and Medicine*, Volume II, E. E. Shelp: Reidel Publishing Co., 1986.

Hummel, Ruth, *Where Do Babies Come From?*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

Hummel, Ruth, *Wonderfully Made*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1967.

In Focus, "SIECUS Sex Education Guidelines," Family Research Council.

Joint Statement of the Physicians Consortium and Catholic Medical Association, Congressman (Ret.) Tom Coburn, M.D., Congressman Dave Weldon, M.D., July 24, 2001.

Jones, E. Michael, "A Room With a View - Debunking the Whig Theory of History," *Chronicles*, March 2001.

Jones, James H., *Alfred C. Kinsey, A Public/Private Life*, W.W. Norton, New York, 1997.

Jones, Stanton L. and Brenna B., *How & When to Tell Your Kids About Sex*, NavPress Publishing Group, Colorado Springs, CO, 1993.

Kinsey, A., Pomeroy, W., Martin, C., Gebhard, P., *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*: Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders, 1953.

Knight, Richard Payne, *A Discourse on the Worship of Priapus: A History of Phallic Worship*, Fredonia Books, 2001.

Lutheran Family Association, "Talking to Your Children About Sex," *Family Connection*, September 1998.

Marshall, Robert and Donovan, Charles, *Blessed are the Barren: The Social Policy of Planned Parenthood*, Ignatius Press, San Francisco, CA, 1991.

McDowell, Josh, *The Myths of Sex Education*, Thomas Nelson Publishers, Nashville, TN, 1990.

McDowell, Josh, *Why Wait?*, Here's Life Publishers, San Bernardino, CA, 1987.

McIlvenna, Ted, *Meditations on the Gift of Sexuality*, Specific Press, San Francisco, CA, 1977.

Mohler, R. Albert, Jr., "Contraception: A Symposium," *First Things*, No. 88, December 1998.

Murphy, Terrance, *Censorship, Government and Obscenity*, Helicon, Baltimore, MD, 1963.

Pomeroy, Wardell, *Dr. Kinsey and the Institute for Sex Research*, Harper & Row, New York, NY, 1952, p. 9.

Position Paper on the Federal Evaluation of Title V and Other Abstinence Education Programs, Focus on the Family, July 2001.

Prager, Dennis, Crisis, "*Why Judaism Rejected Homosexuality: Judaism's Sexual Revolution*," September 1993.

Reisman, Judith A., "*Crafting 'Gay' Children, An Inquiry into the Abuse of Vulnerable Youth via Government Schooling & Mainstream Media*." The Institute for Media Education, October 2000.

Reisman, J. A., *Kinsey: Crimes and Consequences*, (2nd Ed.), Institute for Media Education, Arlington, VA, 2000.

Reisman, Judith A., "*Does Teaching the 'Normality' of Bi-Homosexuality, Transvestitism, Etc., Facilitate Youthful Involvement in Such Sexual Activities?*" First Principles, February 13, 2000.

Reisman, Judith A., "*Mandated Universal Hepatitis B (Venereal Disease) Vaccinations of Normal American Infants and Children*," First Principles, November 1999.

Reisman, Judith A., Analysis of the Tennessee "*Lifetime Wellness Curriculum Framework*," November 1998.

Reisman, J. A., "*The foundation of Modern Sex-Education is Kinsey's Sex Science Fraud*," First Principles Press, Louisville, KY, 1996.

Reisman, J.A. *“Changing the Structure of the Generic Human Brain Through Sexually Explicit Media & Undoing “Common Law,”* Arlington, VA, Institute for Media Education, 1997.

Rekers, George A., *The Journal of Human Sexuality*, Lewis and Stanley, Carrollton, TX, 1996.

Report of the Commission on Theology and Church Relations of The Lutheran Church - Missouri Synod, *Human Sexuality: A Theological Perspective*, as prepared by its Social Concerns Committee, September 1981.

Report of the Illinois Commission on the Sex Offender, March 15, 1953.

Restak, Richard, *The Brain*, Bantam Books, New York, NY, 1984 / Bill Moyers, "Mind and Body: the Brain," PBS TV, Washington, D.C., February 1993.

Richardson, O., Sexual Offenses under the Proposed Missouri Criminal Code. Missouri Law Review, 38(3) Columbia: University of Missouri Law School. 1973

R.S.V.P. America Parents' Curriculum Evaluator, First Principles, Inc., Crestwood, KY, 1997.

R.S.V.P. America Training Manual To Combat the Threat to Children in an Eroticized Society Through Grass Roots Awareness and Action, First Principles, Inc., Crestwood, KY, 1996.

R.S.V.P. America, "Eliminating Protections for Women and Children in the States' Criminal Codes," Preliminary Draft, 2000.

R.S.V.P. America, August 2001 Meeting training manual, First Principles Inc., Crestwood, KY, 2001.

R.S.V.P. America, "Teaching our Children: Marriage and Family Issues for Christian Parents," First Principles, Inc., Crestwood, KY, 2000.

Ruppert, Martha, *The Dating Trap*, Moody Press, Chicago, IL, 2000

Rushdoony, Rousas John, *"The Institutes of Biblical Law,"* The Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, Phillipsburg, PA, 1973.

Sanday, P., *A Woman Scorned: Acquaintance Rape on Trial*, Doubleday, New York, 1996.
Schneider, Yvette C., *Insight*, "The Gay Gene: Going, Going...Gone," *Insight*, Family Research Council, Number 218, April 20, 2000.

Sex Information and Education Council of the U.S. (SIECUS) *Sexuality in Man*, Scribners, New York, 1970.

Socarides, Charles, *Beyond Sexual Freedom*, Quadrangle, New York, 1977.

Sonneberg, Roger, *Human Sexuality: A Christian Perspective*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

Sonneberg, Roger, "Sex! Why is Everyone Talking About it Except the Church," *Lutheran Educator*, Vol. 133, 3, January/February 1998.

Speck, Greg, *Sex: It's Worth Waiting For*, Moody Press, Chicago, IL, 1989.

Strong, James, *The New Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*, Thomas Nelson Publishers, Nashville, TN, 1990.

Tate, Tim, "They Abused Children (but only for research purposes)," *The Sunday Observer*, London, England, August 9, 1998.

The American Humane Association, "Trends in Child Abuse and Neglect: A National Perspective," Children's Division, Denver, CO 1984.

The Lancet, "Really, Dr. Kinsey?," Vol. 337, March 2, 1991.

The U.S. Department of Justice, National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS), "Sexual assault of Young Children as Reported to Law Enforcement: Victim, Incident, and Offender Characteristics," July 2000.

Thompson, Marjorie, *Family: The Forming Center*, Upper Room Books, Nashville, TN, 1996.

Stodghill, Ron, Time, "Where'd You Learn That?," June 15, 1998.

U.S. Departments of Education and Justice, *Indicators of School Crime and Safety*, September 1999.

Webster, Noah, *First American Dictionary of the English Language*, 1828. San Francisco, California, Foundations for American Christian Education, 1967.

Webster's New World Dictionary of the American Language, Revised, Warner Books, New York, reissued 1983.

Wessler, Martin, *Christian View of Sex Education*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1968.

Witt, Elmer N., *Life Can Be Sexual*, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis, MO, 1967.

New York Times, November 18, 1971.

Life, August 24, 1953.

"What the Bible Really Says About Sex," Focus on the Family, August 1998.

"A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing," a Special Report by Focus on the Family, August 1998.